Demolished waste as coarse aggregate in concrete
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Abstract

Huge quantities of construction and demolition wastes are generated every year in developing countries like India. The disposal of this waste is a very serious problem because it requires huge space for its disposal and very little demolished waste is recycled or reused. This study is a part of comprehensive program wherein experimental investigations have been carried out to assess the effect of partial replacement of coarse aggregate by demolished waste on workability and compressive strength of recycled concrete for the study at 7 and 28 d. The compressive strength thus, observed was compared with strength of conventional concrete. Test results showed that the compressive strength of recycled concrete up to 30% coarse aggregate replacement (C. A. R.) by demolished waste at the end of 28 d has been found to be comparable to the conventional concrete.
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Introduction

Huge quantities of construction materials are required in developing countries due to continued infrastructural growth and also huge quantities of construction and demolition wastes are generated every year in developing countries like India. The disposal of this waste is a very serious problem because on one side it requires huge space for its disposal while on the other side it pollutes the environment. It is also necessary to protect and preserve the natural resources like stone, sand etc. Continuous use of natural resources, like river and sand is another major problem and this increases the depth of river bed resulting in drafts and also changing the climatic conditions. So, the sustainable concept was introduced in construction industry due to growing concern about the future of our planet, because it is a huge consumer of natural resources as well as waste producer.

The proportion of concrete rubbles is maximum in the demolition waste. It has been reported by several researchers (Hansen, 1992; Mehta and Monteiro, 1993; Collins, 1994; Sherwood, 1995) that the crushed concrete rubble can be used as a substitute of natural coarse aggregates in concrete or as a sub-base or base layer in pavement, after separating these from the construction and demolition wastes. Some construction projects have been successfully completed using the recycled aggregates (Desmyster and Vyncke, 2000). Hendricks and Pieterson (1998) prepared concrete in which up to 20% natural aggregate was replaced with recycled aggregate and noticed a little effect on the properties of resulting concrete and that the concrete strength decreases when recycled aggregate was used. However, Dhir et al. (1999) reported that there is no decrease in strength for concrete containing up to 20% fine or 30% coarse recycled aggregates, but beyond these levels, there was a systematic decrease in strength as recycled aggregate content was increased. The strength parameters were not affected by the quality of recycled aggregate at high water/cement ratio; however, they were affected only when the water/cement ratio was low (Ryu, 2002; Padmini et al., 2002). A Lesser reduction in compressive strength of recycled aggregate concrete is reported at higher water/cement ratio (Dhir et al., 1999; Ryu, 2002; Chen et al., 2003). The rate of strength development in concrete containing crushed concrete or crushed brick is higher than that of referral concrete indicating further cementing action in presence of fine recycled aggregate, beyond the 28 d of curing (Khattib, 2005). Khalaf and DeVenny (2004) concluded that concrete can be successfully produced using recycled aggregates that have been produced from demolition and construction waste. Concrete produced with these aggregates does not perform so well, as concretes produced with natural aggregates in terms of strength. However, the concrete still has a strength that would make it suitable for some applications with the added benefit that density values are much lower; making it suitable in situations where self-weight is a problem and very good fire resistance is required.

Materials and methods

Demolished waste: Demolished waste was collected from IIT Building near Jhalwa, Allahabad. Demolished waste on being tested in laboratory, showed pozzolanic properties. Demolished waste as a pozzolanic material was used to partially replace coarse aggregate.
Colour of recycled aggregates used in the present investigation was pinkish brown, specific gravity and water absorption was 2.5 and 3.45 respectively.

Cement: Ordinary Portland cement of Birla (43 grade) brand obtained from a single batches trough out the investigation was used. The ordinary cement content contains mainly two basic ingredients namely argillaceous and calcareous. The physical properties of OPC as determined are shown in Table 1. The cement satisfies the requirement of IS: 8112-1989.

Fine aggregate: Fine aggregate is obtained from locally available river sand, which is passed through 4.75 mm sieve. The fineness modulus of fine aggregate was 2.74 and specific gravity was 2.63.

Coarse aggregate: Coarse aggregate was obtained from locally available crushed stone aggregate about 12 mm maximum of single lot size has been used trough out the experiment. Specific gravity of the coarse aggregate was 2.64 and the fineness modulus of coarse aggregate was 6.22.

Water: Potable water is used for mixing and curing. On addition of higher percentage of demolished waste, the requirement of water increases for the same workability. Thus, a constant slump has been the criteria for water requirement, but the specimens having 0% demolished waste, W/C of 0.50 has been used for the study.

Concrete: The concrete mix design was done in accordance with IS:10262 (1982). The cement content in the mix design was taken as 380 kg/cu m which satisfies minimum requirement of 300 kg/cu m in order to avoid the balling effect. Good stone aggregate and natural river sand of zone-II was used as coarse and fine aggregate respectively. Maximum size of coarse aggregate was 12.5 mm and a sieve analysis conforming to IS:383-1970 was carried out for both the fine and coarse aggregate.

This study is a part of comprehensive programme wherein experimental investigations have been carried out to assess the effect of replacement of regular material by a cheaper substitute i.e., demolished waste on strength of concrete. For this study, cubes of 100 mm size were cast by replacing coarse aggregate by demolished waste. Compressive strength of this recycled concrete were observed and compared with those of natural aggregate concrete. To achieve this comparative study, cubes were cast replacing coarse aggregates by 10%, 20%, and 30% with demolished waste with respect to conventional concrete. These specimens were tested after 7 and 28 d and to identify cube strength, a mix proportion of 1:1.67:3.33 were used during the investigation.

![Fig. 1. Workability of various percentage of C.A.R. concrete.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Compressive strength of various percentage of C.A.R concrete.](image2)

### Table 1. Properties of cement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Requirement as per IS:8112-1989</th>
<th>Observed values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal consistency</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial setting time</td>
<td>30 min (min)</td>
<td>113 Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final setting time</td>
<td>600 min (max)</td>
<td>317 Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 d compressive strength</td>
<td>23 N/mm²</td>
<td>23.6 N/mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 d compressive strength</td>
<td>33 N/mm²</td>
<td>34.67 N/mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 d compressive strength</td>
<td>43 N/mm²</td>
<td>44 N/mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soundness test</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fineness test (90µ sieve)</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>0.98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Slump and average compressive strength of concrete.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of concrete</th>
<th>Average compressive strength (N/mm²)</th>
<th>Slump (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional concrete (0% DW)</td>
<td>33 38 30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% C.A.R. concrete</td>
<td>32 36 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% C.A.R. concrete</td>
<td>29 35 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% C.A.R. concrete</td>
<td>25 33 25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results and discussion
The observations made during the test of cubes are summarized as workability and compressive strength are presented in Table 2, Figure 1 and 2. Three specimens each having 0%, 10%, 20%, and 30% demolished waste as coarse aggregate replacement for mix of 1:1.67:3.33 were cast and tested after 7 and 28 d in order to have a comparative study. Workability is the relative ease with which concrete can be mixed, placed, compacted and finished. While, casting specimens, slump test were carried out to determine the workability of different samples as per IS: 6461-1973. Table 2 shows the variations of slump with water cement ratio for recycled concrete mixes. Cubical specimens were cast for the determination of compressive strength and these observations are presented as compressive strength values (Table 2, Fig. 1 and 2). Cubes up to 30% of coarse aggregate was replaced by demolished waste which gave strength closer to the strength of plain concrete cubes and strength retention was recorded in the range of 86.84-94.74% for recycled concrete mix.

Conclusion
The following conclusions are drawn from the experimental study.
1. Recycled aggregate concrete may be an alternative to the conventional concrete.
2. Water required producing the same workability increases with the increase in the percentage of demolished waste.
3. Up to 30% replacement of coarse aggregate with recycled aggregate concrete was comparable to conventional concrete.
4. Up to 30% of coarse aggregate replaced by demolished waste gave strength closer to the strength of plain concrete cubes and strength retention is in the range of 86.84-94.74% as compared to conventional concrete.
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