

ISSN: 2278-5213

Review

Sustainable Agriculture and the Role of Biofertilizers

Mokula Mohammed Raffi*

Department of Natural Resource Management, College of Agriculture and Rural Transformation, University of Gondar, Ethiopia rafimokula@gmail.com*

Received: July 10 2018/Accepted: 21 August 2018/Published: 07 September 2018

Abstract

Day today the population of the world is escalating but on the other hand the agriculture production is not growing in the same proportion to meet the growing demand for agriculture products. Chemical fertilizers are used to supplement nutritional demands of the plants as many soils are deficient in essential nutrients like nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (P). But these synthetic fertilizers are expensive and hazardous to the environment. Hence microbial fertilizers such as nitrogen fixing bacteria, phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB), potassium solubilizing bacteria (KSB) and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) attracted the attention of many workers for their commercial utilization in agriculture to correct the deficiency of N P K in the soils. These microorganisms contribute directly and indirectly to the soil health through their metabolic activities. In this review, efforts were done to recapitulate research findings of several workers which established the beneficial effects of these biofertilizers on different crops in various locations.

Keywords: Biofertilizers, nitrogen fixation, potassium solubilizing bacteria, PGPR, sustainable agriculture.

Introduction

Agriculture nowadays is becoming expensive with the use of chemical fertilizers which are used continuously and indiscriminately which certainly hamper environment. Moreover these fertilizers are not utilized completely by the plants and a little amount of these fertilizers is utilized by the plants and the rest remains unused in the soil. Generally, 60-90% of the total applied fertilizer is lost and the remaining 10-40% is taken up by plants (Adesemoye and Kloepper, 2009). Hence there is every need to make this unavailable fertilizer available by the use of microorganisms as biofertilizers, especially bacteria which can solubilize the phosphates, potassium and make them available to plants and those which are involved in nitrogen fixation along with the production of growth promoting substances such as auxins, gibberellins, production of antibiotics and siderophores, the iron chelating compounds. Biological Nitrogen Fixation (BNF) is an important component of sustainable agriculture and the nitrogen fixers like Azospirillum spp., Rhizobium spp., Azotobacter spp. etc., are being used as biofertilizers frequently which contribute to the nitrogen economy of the plants. There are encouraging reports on the use of efficient nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Mane et al., 2000; Rao, 2003; Tyagi et al., 2003; Egamberdiyeva et al., 2004; Guggari and Kalaghatagi, 2005; Govindan and Thirumurugan, 2005; Sutaliya and Singh, 2005; Sinha et al., 2014; Fabricio and Martín, 2016).

Moreover with increased anxiety about the production of adequate amount of food to feed the constantly increasing human population which is going to touch a mark of 9 billion by 2050 has forced us to reinforce the importance of sustainable increase in crop productivity. One of the methods for sustainable agricultural includes the use of biofertilizers because of aforesaid benefits by these microorganisms (Wadhwa et al., 2017). Biofertilizer can be define as formulations of live microorganisms, when inoculated to seeds, on plant foliage, or to soil establishes in the rhizosphere or become endophytic and promotes growth of the host plants by increasing availability of primary nutrients. The use of biofertilizers can reduce the use of chemical fertilizers which is beneficial for farmer community. The biofertilizers refurbish natural nutrient cycles in the soil and build soil organic matter. Biofertilizers are ecofriendly, non-hazardous and more cost effective than chemical fertilizers. They improve physico-chemical properties of soil like soil texture, pH, and other properties. In terms of N: P: K, it was found to be superior to farmyard manure and other type of manure (Mukhopadhyay, 2006). These are also considered as good plant growth promoting microorganisms (PGPMs). Biofertilizers could be classified as those involved in nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, potassium mobilization and plant growth promotion.



Role of nitrogen fixing microorganisms as biofertilizers

Nitrogen is an essential and key element in improving crop productivity throughout the world. About 42 million tons of fertilizer N is being used annually on global scale for the production of three major cereal crops viz., wheat, rice and maize. Crop plants are able to use about 50% of the applied fertilizer N while 25% is lost from the soil-plant system through leaching, volatilization and denitrification (Saikia and Jain, 2007). Although increasing nitrogen demand of crops is mainly satisfied by the application of mineral fertilizers, biological nitrogen fixation, a process involving the reduction of atmospheric nitrogen to ammonia by microorganisms, which accounts for nearly 60% of earth's newly fixed nitrogen (Postgate, 1982), has assumed great importance in maintaining soil fertility status. The well-known asymbiotic diazotrophic bacteria belong to the genera like Acetobacter, Azotobacter, Azospirillum, Azoarcus, Burkholderia, Enterobacter, Herbaspirillum, Pseudomonas, Klebsiella etc., which are able to exert positive effect on plants by producing and secreting plant growth regulators (PGRs) and/ or by supplying biologically fixed nitrogen (Bazzicalupo and Okon, 2000) and symbiotic nitrogen fixing bacterial belongs to genera of Rhizobium.

Azospirillum

Azospirillum is a genus of bacteria from α-Proteobacteria, Ord. Rhodospirillales, fam. Rhodospirillaceae, which contains nitrogen-fixing bacilli, Gram-negative, aerobes, motiles, peritrichous, catalase and oxidase positives, containing Q-10 isoprenoid quinones as chemotaxonomic markers and C18:1ω7C as predominant fatty acid (Zhou et al. 2009, Fendrihan et al., 2017. Azospirillum is an associative microaerophilic diazotroph isolated from the roots and above ground parts of a variety of crop plants (Bulow and Dobereiner, 1975; Dobereiner et al., 1976; Lakshmi Kumari et al., 1976; Cohen et al., 1980; O'Hara et al., 1981; Tilak and Murty, 1981; Patriquin et al., 1983; Pacovsky et al., 1985; Agarwala and Tilak, 1988; 1988a; Indira and Bagyaraj, 1997, Xie and Yokota, 2005; Mehnaz et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2016). These organisms are curved rods of variable sizes which exhibit spirillar movement and polymorphism. The cells contain poly-β-hydroxy butyrate (PHB) granules and fat droplets. An extreme colonization by azospirilla to root hairs, epidermal cells, cortical intracellular spaces have also been reported (Das, 1993). Azospirillum sp. is one of the best studied genus of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria at present. This microorganism is able to colonize more than one hundred plant species and significantly improves their growth, development and productivity under field conditions (Bashan and De-Bashan, 2010). One of the principal mechanisms proposed for Azospirillum sp. to explain plant growth promotion of inoculated plants, has been related to its ability to produce and metabolize several phytohormones and other plant growth regulation

molecules (Cassan, and Diaz-Zorita, 2016). It is also found to increase the formation of root hairs and lateral roots and results in higher biomass of plants. It also produces growth- promoting phytohormones and hence acts as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), which colonize the rhizosphere of numerous crop plants in tropical and subtropical regions. The bacteria affect several metabolic activities of plants including cell membrane activity, increasing root system, enhances better minerals and water absorption as reviewed by Bashan et al. (2004). Azospirillum spp. increased yields of cereal crops and forage grasses by improving root development increasing the rate of water and mineral uptake from the soil and by biological nitrogen fixation (Okon, 1985). Use of Azospirillum has been found to enhance the yield of different cereal crops; increase in yield in maize crop in the range similar to 60 kg urea N ha was reported by Fulcheri and Frioni (1994), cereal inoculation with Azospirillum brasilense contributed to yield increases of up to 27% in wheat and 6% in oats (Swędrzynska, 2000). Azospirillum brasilense strains Ab-V5 and Ab-V6 increased maize and wheat yields by 27% and 31% respectively (Hungria et al., 2010). Similar effect was reported in rice (Banayo et al., 2012). An enhanced grain yields was observed in wheat, barley and oats inoculated with Azospirillum sp. RAM-7 strain along with nitrogen fertilizer (Dalla Santa et al., 2004). Azospirillum application improved the growth of wheat (Arzaneh et al., 2011); Rhizobium tropici strain CIAT 899 lipo-chitooligosaccharides showed an increase in grain yield and shoot dry yield and N yield in maize (Marks et al., 2015; Fendrihan et al., 2017).

The effect of Azospirillum and Azotobacter on cereal crops has been reviewed by Abd El-Lattief, (2016). An increase in total plant and grain dry weight of maize was observed when plants were inoculated with Azospirillum brasilense and H. seropedicae (Riggs et al., 2001). Inoculation of rice plants with Azospirillum has been found to cause significant increases in growth and yield which is equivalent to that is attainable by application of 15-20 kg N/ha (Rodrigues et al., 2008). Inoculation of wheat with Azospirillum produced significantly higher grain yield by 29% (Askary, et al., 2009). Similarly, inoculation of Azospirillum spp. significantly increased different growth parameters and yield of diverse vegetables crops like tomato (Subbiah 1990), Kumaran et al. (1998), okra (Subbiah 1991), Cauli flower (Kalyani et al., 1992). Cabbage (Jeeva Jothi et al., 1993, chilli (Paramaguru and Natarajan, 1993; Deka et al., 1996), in brinjal (Naidu et al., 2002), in onion (Yadav et al., 2004; Mahanthesh et al., 2005). Production of growth promoting substances like phytohormones, vitamins, antimicrobial and antifungal substances by Azospirillum is well established. The most extensively reported growth promoters are Indole acetic acid (IAA), gibberellins, vitamins and siderophores. Multiple biosynthetic pathways of IAA in Azospirillum were reported

Volume 7, Issue 4, September 2018



by using radiolabelled precursors (Prinsen et al., 1993). A cell-free supernatant of A. brasilense applied to soybean plants induced the highest number of roots and increased root length (Molla et al., 2001). Somers et al. (2005) identified phenyl acetic acid (PAA) from A. brasilense supernatant which is an auxin-like molecule with antimicrobial activity. According to Roesti (2005), the stimulatory effect of the bio inoculants in plant growth might not only result from a direct plant growth promotion effect but also from an indirect modification of bacterial community. Seshadri et al. (2000) reported P-solubilizing capacity of three different strains (LMG 7107, LMG 7108 and LMG 7109) of A. halopraeferans in Sperber's medium.

Rhizobium:

Rhizobia are agriculturally important soil bacteria capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen symbiotically in root and shoot nodules of leguminous plants. They are Gram-negative, motile, non-spore forming, aerobic chemo-organotrophs. Optimum growth temperature range is 25-30°C and a pH of 6.0-7.0 (Somasegaran and Hoben, 1994; Mia et al., 2012) Inoculation of legumes with efficient rhizobial can improve nitrogen (N₂) fixation in legume crops especially when native rhizobial strains are fewer or ineffective from soils. Inoculation of legumes with efficient strains of the rhizobia has significant economic and ecological benefits. However it has been suggested that 90% of the rhizobial inoculants applied globally are of no practical benefit to the productivity of legumes due to defective inoculant technology, substandard inoculants and poor decision making polices in their usage (Brockwell and Bottomley 1995, Moumita, 2013). According to current classification rhizobia belong to the alpha subdivision of protobacteria, that were first classified into two genera, the genus Rhizobium including the fast growing strains and the new genus Bradyrhizobia, created for the slow growing ones (Jordan, 1984). Six genera; Rhizobium, Bradoyrhizobium, Ensifer (Sinorhizobium), Azorhizobium, Mesorhizobium, Allorhizobium, and 28 species have been recognized (Dudeja and Duhan, 2005, Melchiorre et al., 2010, Tariq et al., 2012). There are several reports which support the beneficial effects of rhizobia on crop production and yield. Indigenous Rhizobium leguminosarum BHURCo4 (Cicer sp.) was found significantly better for nodulation, plant growth and yield of chickpea over control in a field trial (Yadav and Verma, 2014). Ogutcu et al. (2010) reported that inoculations with R. leguminosarum by. Ciceri strains significantly increased dry weights of root and shoot, number and dry weights of nodules, chlorophyll and N content of chickpea plants, and amounts of total and fixed N₂ parameters compared with the uninoculated control treatment in saline and non-saline soils. Soils treated with Rhizobium increased growth parameters in chickpea (Zaman et al., 2011).

In a study conducted on the effect of Rhizobium japonicum on Vigna mungo and Vigna radiata showed that the inoculated plants possessed greater height, greater fresh weight, greater number of roots, nodules, greater number of leaves, shoots, pods, greater length of pods, greater seed weight, over their respective controls (Ravikumar, 2012). Flores-Felix et al. (2013) analyzed the in vitro plant growth promotion mechanisms of a Rhizobium leguminosarum strain PEPV16 isolated from Phaseolus vulgaris nodules which produced siderophores, indole acetic acid and solubilized phosphate and increased the dry matter of shoots and roots of lettuce and carrots, respectively supporting the suitability of Rhizobium as biofertilizer for nonlegumes. According to Agarwal and Ahmad (2010) the yield characteristics had registered maximum yield in Rhizobium inoculated sets of Berseem (Trifolium alexandrinum L.) Cv. Wardan (Trifolium alexandrinum L.). Nodule weight per plant of Hedysarum coronarium L. cultivated on calcareous soil in Northern Tunisia was high in Rhizobium inoculated plants (Soumaya et al., 2016). Rhizobium strains NSCBR-14 and NSCBR-(25) inoculated to common bean increased total biomass yield and grain yield significantly (Argaw and Tesso, 2017). Korir et al. (2017) reported that inoculation of common bean with the two rhizobia strains, i.e., IITA-PAU 983 and IITA-PAU 987 strains performed at par with inoculation with the reference strain, CIAT 899, in enhanced nodulation, shoot and root dry weight over the control treatment. The highest grain yield was recorded from common bean plants inoculated with Rhizobium strain HB-429 which was significantly higher than the control (Tarekegn and Serawit, 2017). Inoculation with Rhizobium laguerreae strain PEPV40 significantly increases several vegetative parameters such as leaf number, size and weight, as well as chlorophyll and nitrogen contents of spinach (Jimenez-Gomez et al., 2018).

Phosphate Solubilizing Microorganisms (PSM)

Phosphorus is a vital nutrient for plants and microorganisms next only to nitrogen. Phosphorus is one of the major essential macronutrients for biological growth and for proper plant development (Dave and Patel, 1999). Organic matter derived from dead and decaying plant debris is rich in organic sources of phosphorus. The deficiency of phosphorus may occur in crop plants growing in soils containing adequate phosphates. This may be partly due to the fact that the plants are able to absorb phosphorus only in available form. Soil phosphates are rendered available either by plant roots or by soil microorganisms through their secretion of organic acids. Therefore, phosphate-solubilizing soil microorganisms play some role in correcting phosphorus deficiency of crop plants. These microorganisms may also release soluble inorganic phosphates into soil through decomposition of phosphaterich organic compounds.



Table 1. Response of plants to inoculation of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms.

PSM	Crop	Effect on plant	Reference
B. megaterium and B. circulans	Gram	Increase in yield and P uptake	Mane et al., 2000
PSB	black gram	Increase in grain yield	Chendrayan et al., 2003
PSB	soybean	increase in plant height, total dry matter production and seed weight	Govindan and Thirumurugan, 2005
PSB	winter maize	increase in plant height, dry matter, and grain yield	Sutaliya and Singh, 2005
Bacillus laevolacticum B.amyloliquefaciens, Pseudomonas denitrificans, P. rathonis and Arthrobacter simplex	wheat and maize	increased plant growth	Egamberdiyeva, 2005
PSB	wheat	enhance the growth and grain yield	Afzal et al., 2005
PSB	Zea mays	enhance the growth and grain yield	Yasmin and Bano 2012
PSB	radish	improved vigour index	Lamo et al., 2012
Pantoea agglomerans and Burkholderia anthina	mung bean	enhanced shoot and root length, shoot and root dry matter, and P uptake	Walpola, and Yoon, 2013
PSB	Foxtail millet	increased plant height, dry weight of shoot and root over control plants	Rafi and Charyulu, 2016
Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and fungi	Vigna radiata	improvement in the growth and yield	Kolekar et al., 2017

Several soil bacteria particularly Bacillus, Pseudomonas and fungi possess the ability to solubilize insoluble phosphates into soluble forms. Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and nitrogen-fixing bacteria attracted many workers for their commercial utilization in agriculture. One way to correct the deficiency of phosphorus in plants is to inoculate seeds or soils with phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms (PSMO) along with the use of phosphatic fertilizers (Gaind and Gaur, 1999; Rafi, 2007). Use of PSB as bio-inoculants plays a vital role in maintaining the soil nutrient status and structure and opens up a new horizon for better crop productivity and for greater yield performance (Sonboir and Sarwagi, 1998; Ingle and Padole, 2017). The use of efficient phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms (PSM) opens up a new horizon for better crop productivity and for greater yield performance without affecting the soil health. Increase in the incidence of PSB in natural and alkaline soils is essential to increase and maintain the supply of available phosphorus. Response of different plants to the inoculation of PSMs is shown in Table 1.

Potassium solubilizing bacteria

After nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), potassium (K) is the most important plant nutrient and the most abundantly absorbed cation in higher plants. The introduction of high yielding varieties and hybrids during green revolution and with the progressive intensification of agriculture, the soils are getting depleted in potassium reserve at a faster rate. As a consequence, potassium deficiency is becoming one of the major constraints in crop production. Potassium plays an important role in the growth and development of plants. It activates over 80 different enzymes, maintains cell turgor, enhances photosynthesis, reduces respiration, helps in transport of sugars and starches, helps in nitrogen uptake and is essential for protein synthesis. (Archana, 2007; White and Karley, 2010; Almeida et al., 2015; Cecílio Filho et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Gallegos-Cedillo et al., 2016; Hussain et al., 2016). K is the seventh most abundant element in Earth's crust. Total K content in soils ranges between 0.04 and 3% K. Although K is present as an abundant element in soil, only 1 to 2 % of this element is available to plants (Sparks and Huang, 1985).

Volume 7, Issue 4, September 2018

JAIR

The rest are bound with other minerals and therefore are unavailable to plants. There are three forms of potassium found in the soil viz., soil minerals, nonexchangeable and available form. Soil minerals make up more than 90 to 98% of soil potassium. It is tightly bound and most of it is unavailable for plant uptake. The second is non-exchangeable potassium which acts as a reserve to replenish potassium taken up or lost from the soil solution. It makes up approximately 1 to 10% soil potassium. The third type is available potassium which constitutes 1 to 2%. It is found either in the solution or as part of the exchangeable cation on clay mineral. Among three different forms of potassium in soils, the concentrations of soluble K in soils are usually very low but the highest proportion of potassium in soils are in insoluble rocks and minerals (Goldstein, 1994; Archana, 2007).

Many researchers have isolated microorganisms that are capable of transforming unavailable potassium to available forms considered as potassium-solubilizing bacteria (KSB). These microorganisms may be promising tools to increase K availability in soils and are playing pivotal role in plant growth in K deficient soils. Many researchers have established the role of KSB in improvement of crop yield (Javad et al., 2013; Zhang and Kong, 2014; Ahmad and Zargar, 2017, Balasubramanian et al., 2017). The mechanism of potassium solubilization by KSB has been well reviewed elsewhere (Etesami et al., 2017). Inoculation of Bacillus mucilaginosus (KSB) recorded increase in the dry matter by 125 per cent and oil content 35.4 per cent of groundnut plant and available P and K is increased from 6.24 and 9.28 mg/kg and 86.57 to 99.60 mg/kg respectively in soil compared to uninoculated control (Sugumaran and Janarthanam, 2007). Plant dry weight and uptake of both K and N by tobacco seedlings increased significantly after being treated with the four KSB strains (Zhang and Kong, 2014). Han et al. (2006) evaluated the inoculation effect of Bacillus megaterium var. phosphaticum (PSB) and Bacillus mucilaginosus (KSB) combined together with rock material on pepper and cucumber in nutrient limited soil and reported a consistent increase in mineral availability, uptake and plant growth. Archana (2007) reported that KSB (Bacillus species) inoculation increased plant growth, nutrient uptake (K) and yield component of maize plants significantly over control plants. Groundnut plant treated with KSB strains, Micrococcus varians and Corynebacterium kutscheri showed maximum pods of 16 and seeds 72 per plant as compared to control having pods 7 and seeds 21 Verma et al. (2016). Increase in growth vigor, biomass yield and K uptake to different degrees in available K deficient soil in ryegrass upon inoculation with KSB strains was notice by Xiao et al., (2017). Studies conducted by Bagyalakshmi et al. (2017) on inoculation of indigenous potassium solubilizing bacteria along with different doses of potassium

fertilizer established improvement in the productivity and nutrient uptake in tea plantations.

Conclusion

There are several encouraging reports which established the effectiveness of biofertilizers in improving plant growth and crop yields. Yet further extensive investigations on the use of beneficial microorganisms are desirable in different climatic conditions of the world on variety of crops to meet the food needs of the growing population. There are also reports on the beneficial mechanisms of these organisms. Further efforts are to be done to elucidate different mechanisms using r-DNA technology for sustainable agriculture.

References

- Abd El-Lattief, E.A. 2016. Use of Azospirillum and Azobacter bacteria as biofertilizers in cereal crops: A review. International J. Res in Eng and Appl Sci. 6 (7): 36-44.
- 2. Adesemoye, A.O. and Kloepper, J.W. 2009. Plant-microbes interactions in enhanced fertilizer use efficiency. *Appl Microbiol Biotech.* 85(1):1-12.
- 3. Afzal, A., Ashraf, M., Asad, S.A. and Farooq, M. 2005. Effect of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms on phosphorus uptake, yield and yield traits of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in rain fed area. *Int J Agri Biol.* 7(2): 207-209.
- Agarwal, S and Ahmad, Z. 2010. Contribution of the Rhizobium inoculation on plant growth and productivity of two cultivars of Berseem (Trifolium alexandrinum L.) in Saline Soil. Asian J Plant Sci, 9: 344-350.
- 5. Agarwala, R. and Tilak, K.V.B.R. 1988. Azospirillum in leaves of Eleusine coracana and Setaria italica. Zbl. Mikrobiol. 143: 533-537.
- 6. Agarwala, R. and Tilak, K.V.B.R. 1988a. Establishment of Azospirillum brasilense in roots of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*). *Zbl. Mikrobiol.* 143: 539-544.
- Ahmad, M., Nadeem, S.M., Naveed, M. and Zahir, Z.A. 2016. Potassium-Solubilizing bacteria and their application in agriculture. In: Meena V., Maurya B., Verma J., Meena R. (eds) Potassium Solubilizing Microorganisms for Sustainable Agriculture. Springer, New Delhi
- 8. Ahmad, M.S and Zargar, M.Y. 2017. Characterization of Potassium Solubilizing Bacteria (KSB) in Rhizospheric Soils of Apple (Malus domestica Borkh.) in Temperate Kashmir J Appl Life Sci Int 15(1): 1-7
- 9. Almeida, H.J., Pancelli, M.A., Prado, R.M., Cavalcante, V.S. and Cruz, F.J.R. 2015. Effect of potassium on nutritional status and productivity of peanuts in succession with sugar cane. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 15: 1-10.
- 10. Archana, D.S. 2007. Studies on potassium solubilizing bacteria (M.Sc. Thesis University of agricultural sciences, Dharwad, India).
- 11. Argaw, A. and Tesso, B. 2017. Effectiveness of Rhizobium inoculation on productivity of common bean (*Phaseolus*





- vulgaris I.): investigating the effect of indigenous rhizobia population *Polish J Nat Sci.* 32(4): 593–614.
- 12. Arzanesh, M.H., Alikhani, H.A., Khavazi, H.K.A. and Miransari, R.M. 2011. Wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) growth enhancement by *Azospirillum sp.* under drought stress, *World J Microbiol Biotechnol.* 27:197–205.
- Askary, M., Mostajeran, A., Amooaghaei, R. and Mostajeran, M. 2009. Influence of the Co-inoculation Azospirillum brasilense and Rhizobium meliloti plus 2,4-D on Grain Yield and N, P, K Content of Triticum aestivum (Cv. Baccros and Mahdavi). American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci. 5 (3): 296-307.
- 14. Bagyalakshmi, B., Ponmurugan, P. and Balamurugan, A. 2017. Potassium solubilization, plant growth promoting substances by potassium solubilizing bacteria (KSB) from southern Indian Tea plantation soil. *Biocatalysis and Agric Biotec*. 12: 116-124
- 15. Bashan, Y. and de-Bashan, L. 2010. How the plant growth-promoting bacterium Azospirillum promotes plant growth. A critical assessment. Adv in Agron. 108: 77-136.
- Bashan, Y., Holguin, G. and de-Bashan, L.E. 2004.
 Azospirillum-plant relationships: Physiological, molecular, agricultural and environmental advances (1997-2003).
 Canad. J. Microbiol. 50: 521-577
- 17. Bazzicalupo, M. and Y. Okon. 2000. Associative and endophytic symbiosis. In: Nitrogen fixation: From molecules to crop productivity, (Pedrosa et al., Ed.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, pp. 409-410.
- 18. Brockwell, J. and Bottomley, P. J. 1995. Recent advances in inoculant technology and prospects for the future. *Soil Biol and Biochem.* 27: 683-97.
- 19. Bulow, J. F.W. and Dobereiner, J. 1975. Potential for nitrogen fixation in maize genotypes in Brazil. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA, 72: 2389-2393.
- 20. Cassan, F. and Diaz-Zorita, M. 2016. Azospirillum sp. in current agriculture: From the laboratory to the field, Soil Biol and Biochem. 103:117-130.
- 21. Cecílio Filho, A.B., Feltrim, A.L., Mendoza Cortez, J.W., Gonsalves, M.V., Pavani, L.C. and Barbosa, J.C. 2015. Nitrogen and potassium application by fertigation at different watermelon planting densities. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 15: 928-937.
- 22. Cohen, E., Okon, Y., Kigel, J., Nur, I. and Henis, Y. 1980. Increase in dry weight and total nitrogen content in *Zea mays* and *Setaria italica* associated with nitrogen-fixing Azospirillum spp. *Plant Physiol*. 66: 746-749.
- 23. Dalla Santa, O.R., Hernández, R.F., Alvarez, G.L.M., Junior, P.R and Soccol, C.R. 2004. Azospirillum sp. Inoculation in Wheat, Barley and Oats Seeds Greenhouse Experiments, Brazilian Arch Biol and Techn. 47 (6): 843-850.
- 24. Das, H.K. 1993. Molecular genetics of nitrogen fixation in azospirilla. *Proc. Indian Natn. Sci. Acad. Part B. Biol. Sci.* 59: 397-406.
- 25. Dave, A. and H.H. Patel. 1999. Inorganic phosphate solubilizing soil *Pseudomonads*. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 39: 161-164.

- 26. Deka, B.C., Bora, G.C. and Shadequi, A. 1996. Effect of Azospirillum on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) Cv. Pusa Jwala. Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 325: 44-46.
- 27. Dobereiner, J., Marriel, I.E. and Nery, M. 1976. Ecological distribution of Spirillum lipoferum Beijerinck. Canad. J. Microbiol. 22: 1464-1473.
- 28. Dudeja, S.S. and Duhan, J.S. 2005. Biological nitrogen fixation research in pulses with special reference to mungbean and urdbean. *Ind J Pulses Res* 18: 107-18.
- 29. Egamberdiyeva, D., Juraeva, D. S., Myachina, Teryuhova, P., Seydalieva, L. and Aliev, A. 2004. Improvement of wheat and cotton growth and nutrient uptake by phosphate solubilizing bacteria. In: Proc. 26th Southern Conservation Tillage Conference for Sustainable Agriculture, Raleigh, North Carolina, pp. 58-66.
- 30. Etesami, H., Emami, S. and Alikhani, HA. 2017. Potassium solubilizing bacteria (KSB): Mechanisms, promotion of plant growth, and future prospects a review. *J of Soil Sci and Plant Nutri*. 17 (4): 897-911
- 31. Fabricio, C and Martín, D. 2016. Azospirillum sp. in current agriculture: From the laboratory to the field. Soil Biol & Biochem. 103:117-130.
- 32. Fendrihan, S., Constantinescu, F., Sicuia, O. and Dinu, S. 2017. Azospirillum strains as biofertilizers and biocontrol agents -a practical review. *J Adva in Agric*. 7(3):1096-1108.
- 33. Flores-Felix, JD., Menéndez, E., Rivera, LP., Marcos-García, M., Martínez-Hidalgo, P., Mateos, PF., Martínez-Molina, E., Velázquez, ME., García-Fraile, P. and Rivas, R. 2013. Use of Rhizobium leguminosarum as a potential biofertilizer for Lactuca sativa and Daucus carota crops. J Plant Nutr Soil Sci. 176:876–882. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201300116
- 34. Fulchieri, M. and L. Frioni. 1994. Azospirillum inoculation on maize (*Zea mays*): effect on yield in a field experiment in central Argentina. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 26: 921-923.
- 35. Gallegos-Cedillo, V.M., Urrestarazu, M. and Álvaro, J.E. 2016. Influence of salinity on transport of Nitrates and Potassium by means of the xylem sap content between roots and shoots in young tomato plants. *J. Soil Sci. Plant* Nutr. 16 (4): 991-998.
- 36. Gaind, S. and Gaur, A.C. 1999. Microbial phosphate solubilization as influenced by sodium chloride. *Indian J Expt Biol.* 37:209-210.
- 37. Goldstein, A.H. 1994. Involvement of the quinoprotein glucose dehydrogenase in the solubilization of exogenous phosphates by gram-negative bacteria. In: Phosphate in Microorganisms: Cellular Molecular Biology, (A. Torriani-Gorini, E. Yagil and S. Silver, Eds.), ASM Press, Washington, DC, pp. 197-203.
- 38. Govindan, K. and Thirumurugan, V. 2005. Synergistic associations of *Rhizobium* with the phosphate-solubilizing bacteria under different sources of nutrient supply on productivity and soil fertility in soybean (*Glycine max*). *Indian J. Agron.* 50: 214-217.
- 39. Guggari, A.K. and Kalaghatagi, S.B. 2005. Effect of fertilizers and biofertilizers on pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) and pegionpea (*Cajanus cajan*) intercropping



- system under rainfed conditions. *Indian J. Agron.* 50: 24-26.
- 40. Han, H.S., Supanjani. and Lee, K.D. 2006. Effect of coinoculation with phosphate and potassium solubilizing bacteria on mineral uptake and growth of pepper and cucumber. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 52(3): 130–136.
- 41. Hungria, M., Campo, R.J., Souza, E.M. and Pedrosa, F.O. 2010. Inoculation with selected strains of Azospirillum brasilense and A. lipoferum improves yields of maize and wheat in Brazil, Plant and Soil. 331(1):413-425. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-009-0262-0
- 42. Hussain, Z., Khattak, R.A., Irshad, M., Mahmood, Q. and An, P. 2016. Effect of saline irrigation water on the leachability of salts, growth and chemical composition of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in saline-sodic soil supplemented with phosphorus and potassium. *J. soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 16: 604-620.
- 43. Indira, B.N. and Bagyaraj, D.J. 1997. Associative diazotrophs of sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum L.) cultivars. Indian J. Microbiol. 3: 95-98.
- 44. Ingle, K.P. and Padole, D.A. 2017. Phosphate Solubilizing Microbes: An Overview Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 6(1): 844-852
- 45. Jeeva Jothi, L., Mani, A.K. Pappiah, C.M. and Rajagopalan, R. 1993. Influence of NPK and Azospirillum on the yield of cabbage. South Indian Horti . 41(5): 270-272.
- 46. Jimenez Gomez, A., David Flores-Felix, JD., García-Fraile, P., Mateos, P.F., Menéndez, E. Velázquez, M.E. and Rivas, R. 2018. Probiotic activities of Rhizobium laguerreae on growth and quality of spinach. Scientific Reports 8, Article number: 295. DOI:10.1038/s41598-017-18632-z
- 47. Jordan, D.C. 1984. Rhizobiaceae conn 1938. In: Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (Eds. NR Krieg, JG Holt). Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, London, pp. 235-244.
- 48. Kalayani, D.P., Ravisankar, C., Pillai, R.N. and Manohar Prasad, D.1992. Studies on the effect of nitrogen and Azospirillum on dry matter and nutrient uptake of cauliflower. Veg Sci. 19(2): U7-51.
- 49. Keshavarz Zarjani, J., Aliasgharzad, N., Oustan, S., Emadi, M. and Ahmadi, A. 2013. Isolation and characterization of potassium solubilizing bacteria in some Iranian soils. Arch. Agron. Soil Sci. 59:1713-1723.
- 50. Kolekar, S.S., Desai, P.D., Panchal, H.K. and Shah, K.B. 2017. Study of phosphate solubilizing microorganisms with biofertilizer potential. *Int J Pharm Bio Sci.* 8: 751-757.
- 51. Korir, H., Mungai, NW., Thuita, M., Hamba, Y. and Masso, C. 2017. Co-inoculation effect of *Rhizobia* and Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on common bean growth in a low phosphorus. Soil Front Plant Sci. 8: 141.
- 52. Lakshmikumari, M., Kavimandan, S.K. and Subba Rao, N.S. 1976. Occurrence of nitrogen-fixing Spirillum in roots of rice, sorghum maize and other plants. *Indian J. Expt. Biol.* 24: 638-639.
- 53. Lamo, K., Korla, B.N. and Shukla, Y.R. 2012. Effect on different organic and inorganic sources on seed

- production of Radish (Raphanus sativus CV. Chinese pink). Life Sci Leaflets. 2:38-44
- 54. Lin, S.Y., Liu, Y.C., Hameed, A., Hsu, Y.H., Huang, H.I., Lai, W.A. and Young, C.C. 2016. Azospirillum agricola sp. nov., a nitrogen-fixing species isolated from cultivated soil. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 66:1453-1458.
- 55. Mahanthesh, B., Venkatesha, J., Thippesha, D., Poornima, G. and Umesha, K. 2005. Effect of biofertilizers and levels of NPK on growth and yield of onion (Allium cepa L.) cv. Bellary Red grown under irrigated condition in central dry zone of Karnataka. *Karnataka J Horti*. 1(3):70-75.
- 56. Mane, S.S., Hadgaonkar, A.K., Surya Wanshi, A.P. and Salunke, S.D. 2000. Response of pearl millet to inoculation of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and Azospirillum. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 48: 617-619.
- 57. Marks, B.B., Megías, M., Ollero, F.J., Nogueira, M.A., Silva Araujo, R. and Hungria, M. 2015. Maize growth promotion by inoculation with Azospirillum brasilense and metabolites of Rhizobium tropici enriched on lipo-chitooligosaccharides (LCOs). AMB Expr. 5:71. DOI 10.1186/s13568-015-0154-z
- 58. Mehnaz, S., Weselowski, B. and Lazarovits, G. 2007. Azospirillum canadense sp. nov., a nitrogen-fixing bacterium isolated from corn rhizosphere. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57: 620-624.
- 59. Melchiorre, M., de Luca, M.J., Anta, G.G., Suarez, P., Lopez, C., Lascano, R. and Racca, R.W. 2010. Evaluation of bradyrhizobia strains isolated from field-grown soybean plants in Argentina as improved inoculants. *Biol fertil soils*. 47: 81-89.
- 60. Mia, M.A.B., Shamsuddin, ZH. and Mahmood, M. 2012. Effects of rhizobia and plant growth promoting bacteria inoculation on germination and seedling vigor of lowland rice. *African J Biotech.* 11(16):3758-3765.
- 61. Molla, A.H., Shamshuddin, Z.H. and Saud, H.M. 2001. Mechanism of root growth and promotion of nodulation in vegetable soybean by Azospirillum brasilense. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 32: 2177-2187.
- 62. Moumita, G. 2013. Morphological, biochemical and molecular characterization of summer Mungbean (Vigna radiata L. Wilczek.) rhizobial diversity, MSc Thesis submitted to Punjab Agricultural University Ludhiana, India.
- 63. Mukhopadhyay, S.N. 2006. Eco-friendly products through process biotechnology in the provision of biotechnology economy-Recent advances. Technorama, A. Supplement to IEI News, March.
- 64. Naidu, A.K., Kushwah, S.S. and Dwivedi, Y.C. 2002. Influence of organic manures, chemical and biofertilizers on growth, yield and economics of brinjal. South Indian Horti. 50(4-6): 370-376.
- 65. O'Hara, G.W., Davey, M.R. and Lucas, J.A. 1981. Effects of inoculation of *Zea mays* with Azospirillum brasilense strains under temperate conditions. *Canad. J. Microbiol.* 27: 871-877.
- 66. Ogutcu, H., Kasimoglu, C.and Elkoca, E. 2010. Effects of Rhizobium strains isolated from wild chickpeas on the





- growth and symbiotic performance of chickpeas (Cicer arietinum L.) under salt stress. Turk J Agric. 34: 361-371.
- 67. Okon, Y. 1985. Azospirillum as a potential inoculant for agriculture. Trends Biotechnol. 3: 223-228
- 68. Paramaguru, P. and Natarajan, S. 1993. Effect of Azospirillum on growth and yield of chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) grown under semi dry condition. South Indian Horti. 41(2): 80-83.
- 69. Patriquin, D.G., Dobereiner, J. and Jain, D.K. 1983. Sites and process of association between diazotrophs and grasses. *Canad. J. Microbiol.* 29: 900-916.
- 70. Pikovskaya, R.I. 1948. Mobilization of phosphates in soil in connection with the vital activities of some microbial species. *Mikrobiologiya*. 17: 362-370.
- 71. Postgate, J.R. 1982. The Fundamentals of Nitrogen Fixation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Prinsen, E., Costacurta, A., Michiels, K., Vanderleyden, J.A. and Van Onckelen, H. 1993. Azospirillum brasilense indole-3-acetic acid biosynthesis: evidence for a nontryptophan dependent pathway. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 6: 609-615.
- 73. Rafi, M.M. and Charyulu, P.B.B.N. 2016. Synergistic effect of Azospirillum and PSB inoculation on growth and yield of foxtail millet. Int J Plant Animal and Environ Scis. 6(1):138-147.
- 74. Rafi, M.M. 2007. Synergistic Effects of Inoculation of Azospirillum and Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria on the yield of Foxtail Millet. [Setaria Italica (L.) Beauv.](Ph.D Thesis, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur, India).
- 75. Rao, D.L.N. 2003. BNF and Biofertilizers research in India : Current status and new initiatives in ICAR network. *Bioferti News Letters*. 11: 3-10.
- 76. Ravikumar, R. 2012. Growth effects of Rhizobium inoculation in some legume plants. *Int J Curr Sci.* 1-6.
- 77. Riggs, P.J., Chelius, M.K., Iniguez, A.L., Kaeppler, S.M. and Triplett, E.W. 2001. Enhanced maize productivity by inoculation with diazotrophic bacteria. Aus *J Plant Physiol*. 28:829–36.
- 78. Rodrigues, P.E., Rodregues, L.S., Oliveira, A.L.M., Baldani, V.L.D., Teixeira, K.R., Urquiaga, S. and Reis, V.M. 2008. Azospirillum amazonense inoculation: effects on growth, yield and N2-fixation of rice (Oryza sativa L.), Plant Soil. 302: 249-361.
- 79. Roesti, D. 2005. Bacterial community associated with the rhizosphere of wheat: interaction with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and selection of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for the increase of wheat growth and soil health in Indian marginal rain fed fields. (Doctoral Thesis, University of Neuchtel, Institute of Botany, Switzerland, pp. 26).
- 80. Saikia, S.P. and Jain, V. 2007. Biological nitrogen fixation with non-legumes: An achievable target or a dogma? *Curr. Sci.* 92: 317-322.
- 81. Seshadri, S., Muthukumaraswamy, R., Lakshminarasimhan, C. and Ignacimuthu, S. 2000. Solubilization of inorganic phosphates by Azospirillum halopraeferans. Curr. Sci. 79: 565-567.

- 82. Sinha, R.K., Valani, D., Chauhan, K. et al., 2014. Embarking on a second green revolution for sustainable agriculture by vermiculture biotechnology using earthworms. Int J of Agric Health Safety.1:50-64.
- 83. Somasegaran, P. and Hobe, H.J. 1994. Handbook for Rhizobia: Methods in legume-Rhizobium Technology. Pp 1-450. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 84. Somers, E., Ptacek, D., Gysegom, P., Srinivasan, M. and Vanderleyden, J. 2005. Azospirillum brasilense produces the auxin-like phenylacetic acid by using the key enzyme for Indole 3-acetic acid biosynthesis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71: 1803-1810.
- 85. Sonboir, H.L. and Sarawgi, S.K. 1998. Effect of phosphorus, bacterial cultures and micronutrients on growth, yield and balance sheet of N and P in chickpea. *Ann. Agric. Res.* 19: 488-491.
- 86. Soumaya, T.H., Sana, D.F., Faysal, B.J.and Imran, H. 2016. Effect of Rhizobium inoculation on growth and nutrient uptake of Sulla (Hedysarum coronarium L.) grown in calcareous soil of Northern Tunisia. Romanian BiotechLett. 21(4).
- 87. Sparks, D.L. and Huang, P.M. 1985. Physical chemistry of soil potassium. *Potassium in agriculture*. 201-276.
- 88. Subbiah, K. 1990, Nitrogen and Azospirillum interaction on fruit yield and nitrogen use efficiency in tomato. South Indian Hortic. 38 (6): 342-344.
- 89. Subbiah, K. 1991. Studies on the effect of nitrogen and Azospirillum in okra. South Indian Horti. 39 (1): 37-44.
- 90. Sugumaran, P. and Janarthanam, B. 2007. Solubilization of potassium containing minerals by bacteria and their effect on plant growth. *World J. Agric. Sci.*, 3(3): 350-355.
- 91. Sutaliya, R. and Singh, R.N. 2005. Effect of planting time, fertility level and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria on growth, yield and yield attributes of winter maize (*Zea mays*) under rice (*Oryza sativa*)-maize cropping system. *Indian J. Agron.* 50: 173-175.
- 92. Swędrzynska, D. 2000. Effect of Inoculation with Azospirillum brasilense on Development and Yielding of Winter Wheat and Oat under Different Cultivation Conditions. Polish J Environ Stud. 9(5): 423-428.
- 93. Tarekegn, Y and Serawit, S. 2017. Growth, symbiotic and yield response of N-fertilized and Rhizobium inoculated common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris L.*), Afr. J. Plant Sci. 11(6): 197-202.
- 94. Tariq, M., Hameed, S., Yasmeen, T. and Ali, A. 2012. Non-rhizobial bacteria for improved nodulation and grain yield of mungbean [Vigna radiate (L.) Wilczek.]. Afri J Biotechnol. 11: 15012-19.
- 95. Tilak, K.V.B.R and Murthy, B.N. 1981. Occurrence of Azospirillum in association with roots and stems of different cultivars of barley (Hordium vulgare L.). Curr. Sci. 50: 496-498.
- 96. Tyagi, M.K., Singh, C.P., Bhattacharya, P. and Sharma, N.L. 2003. Dual inoculation effect of Rhizobium and phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) on pea (Pisum sativum L.). Indian J. Agric. Res. 37: 1-8.





- 97. Verma, A., Patidar, Y. and Vaishampayan, A. 2016. Isolation and purification of potassium solubilizing bacteria from different regions of India and its effect on crop's yield. *Indian J Microbiol Res.* 3(4):483-488.
- 98. Wadhwa, Z., Srivastava, V., Rani, R., Tanvi, Makkar, K. and Jangra, S. 2017. Isolation and characterization of Rhizobium from Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 6(11): 2880-2893.
- 99. Walpola, B.C. and Yoon, M. 2013. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria: Assessment of their effect on growth promotion and phosphorous uptake of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* [L.] R. Wilczek). Chilean J Agric Res. 73: 275 -281.
- 100. White, P.J. and Karley, A.J. 2010. Potassium, Plant Cell Monographs, pp. 199-224.
- 101. Xie, C.H. and Yokota, A. 2005. Azospirillum oryzae sp. nov., a nitrogen-fixing bacterium isolated from the roots of the rice plant Oryza sativa. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 55: 1435-1438.
- 102. Xiao, Y., Wang, X., Chen, W. and Huang, Q. 2017. Isolation and Identification of three potassium-solubilizing bacteria from rape rhizospheric soil and their effects on ryegrass. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 34(10): 873-880.
- 103. Yadav, J. and Verma, J.P. 2014. Effect of seed inoculation with indigenous *Rhizobium* and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on nutrients uptake and yields of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Euro J Soil Biol. 63: 70-77.

- 104. Yadav, B.D., Khandelwal, R.B. and Sharma,Y.K. 2004. Use of bio-fertilizer (Azospirillum) in onion. Haryana J. Hort. Sci. 33: 281-83.
- 105. Yang, B.M., Yao, L.X., Li, G.L., He, Z.H. and Zhou, C.M. 2015. Dynamic changes of nutrition in litchi foliar and effects of potassium-nitrogen fertilization ratio. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 15: 98-110.
- 106. Yasmin, H and Bano, A. 2011. Isolation and characterization of Phosphate solublizing bacteria from rhizosphere Soil of Khewra salt range and Attock. *Pak J Bot.* 43:1663-1668.
- 107. Zaman, S., Mazid, M.A. and Kabir, G. 2011. Effect of Rhizobium inoculant on nodulation, yield and yield traits of chickpea (Cicer arietinum I.) in four different soils of greater rajshahi. J. Life Earth Sci. 6: 45-50.
- 108. Zhang, C. and Kong, F. 2014. Isolation and identification of potassium-solubilizing bacteria from tobacco rhizospheric soil and their effect on tobacco plants. *ApplSoil Ecol.* 82: 18-25.
- 109. Zhou, Y., Wei, W., Wang, X., Xu, L. and Lai, R. 2009. Azospirillum palatum sp. nov., isolated from forest soil in Zhejiang province. China J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 551-7.

Cite this Article as:

Raffi, M.M. 2018. Sustainable Agriculture and the Role of Biofertilizers. J. Acad. Indus. Res. 7(4): 51-59.